arXiv:1110.1921v3 [math.GT] 22 Nov 2011

ON SLOPE GENERA OF KNOTTED TORI IN THE 4-SPACE

YI LIU, YI NI, HONGBIN SUN, AND SHICHENG WANG

Abstract. In this note, we investigate genera of slopes of a knotted torus in the 4-sphere S^4 analogous to the genus of a classical knot. We compare various formulations of this notion, and use this notion to study the extendable subgroup of the mapping class group of knotted torus.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Genera of slopes 3
2.1. Genus and singular genus 3
2.2. Extendable subgroup 4
2.3. Criteria on stable extensions 5
3. Induced seminorms on $H_1(T^2;\mathbb{R})$ 7
3.1. The induced seminorm 7
3.2. The satellite construction 9
3.3. A Schubert type inequality 9
4. Braid satellites 14
4.1. Braid patterns 14
4.2. Braid satellites 15
4.3. On genera of plumbing braid satellites 17
5. Slopes with vanishing seminorm but positive singular genus 19
6. Further questions 20
References 20

1. Introduction

In the classical knot theory, the genus of a knot in the 3-sphere is a basic numerical invariant which has been well studied. In this note, we investigate some analogous notions for the slopes of a knotted torus in the 4-sphere S^4. These reflect certain essential difference between knotted tori and knotted spheres. Part of the results in this paper can be naturally generalized to study knotted surfaces in S^4.

By a *knotted torus* in S^4, we mean a locally flat embedding:

$$K : T^2 \hookrightarrow S^4,$$

from the torus to the 4-sphere. By abusing the notation, we also write the image of K as K whenever it causes no confusion. For any slope (i.e. an essential simple

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57Q45; Secondary 57M05, 20F12.

closed curve) $c \subset K$, it makes sense to define the *genus*:

$$g_K(c),$$

of c as the smallest possible genus of all the locally flat, orientable, compact subsurfaces $F \hookrightarrow S^4$ whose image bounds c and meets K exactly in c. The genus of a slope is clearly an isotopy invariant of the knotted torus, and indeed, it is invariant under *extendable automorphisms*. More precisely, if τ is an automorphism (i.e. orientation-preserving self-homeomorphism up to isotopy) of T^2 that extends over S^4 as an orientation-preserving self-homeomorphism, then c and $\tau(c)$ must have the same genus for any slope $c \subset K$. It is known that all such automorphisms form a subgroup:

$$\mathscr{E}_K \leq \mathrm{Mod}(T^2),$$

of the mapping class group $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$, called the *extendable subgroup* with respect to K. See Section 2 for more details. A primary motivation of our study is to understand $\mathscr{E}_K$ with the aid of the slope genera.

Being natural as it is, the genus of a slope of a knotted torus is usually hard to be captured. In contrast, two conceptually weaker notions yield much more interesting applications. One is called the *singular genus* of a slope c, denoted as $g^\star_K(c)$. It is defined by loosening the locally flat-embedding condition on $F \to S^4$ in the definition of the genus above to just being continuous. Another is called the induced *seminorm* on $H_1(T^2)$, denoted as $\|\cdot\|_K$. This is an analogue to the (singular) Thurston norm in the classical context. In Section 2, we show that the vanishing of the singular genus for a slope $c \subset K$ yields the extendability of the Dehn twist $\tau_c \in \mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$ along c in certain stable sense, (Proposition 2.9). In Section 3, we prove an inequality relating the seminorms associated with the satellite construction, which is analogous to the classical Schubert inequality for knots in S^3.

It is remarkable at this point that both the singular genus and the seminorm of a slope are group-theoretic notions, which can be rephrased by the commutator length and the stable commutator length in the fundamental group of the exterior of the knotted torus, respectively, (Remarks 2.3, 3.5).

As an application of these results, we study the braid satellites in Section 4. In particular, this allows us to obtain examples of knotted tori with finite extendable subgroups. In Section 5, we exhibit examples where the singular genus is positive for a slope with vanishing seminorm. This implies the singular genus is strictly stronger than the seminorm as an invariant associated to slopes.

In Section 6, we list a few questions related to slope genera and the extendable subgroups for further studies.

Acknowledgement. The second author was partially supported by an AIM Five-Year Fellowship and NSF grant numbers DMS-1021956 and DMS-1103976. The fourth author was partially supported by grant No.10631060 of the National Natural Science Foundation of China. The authors thank Seiichi Kamada for clarifying some point during the devolopment of the paper, and thank David Gabai and Charles Livingston for suggestions and comments.

2. Genera of slopes

In this section, we introduce the genus and the singular genus for any slope of a knotted torus K in S^4. We provide criteria about the extendable subgroup $\mathscr{E}_K$ of $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$ in terms of these notions.

2.1. **Genus and singular genus.**

Let $K: T^2 \hookrightarrow S^4$ be a knotted torus in S^4, i.e. a locally flat embedding of the torus into the 4-sphere. Let:

$$X_K = S^4 - K,$$

be the exterior of K obtained by removing an open regular neighborhood of K.

Lemma 2.1. *Let F_g^2 be the closed orientable surface of genus g, and Y be a simply-connected closed 4-manifolds. Suppose $K: F_g^2 \hookrightarrow Y$ is a null-homologous, locally flat embedding. Write $X = Y - K$ for the exterior of K in Y. Then ∂X is canonically homeomorphic to $F_g^2 \times S^1$, up to isotopy, such that the homomorphism $H_1(F_g^2) \to H_1(X)$ induced by including F_g^2 as the first factor $F_g^2 \times \mathrm{pt}$ is trivial. In particular, every essential simple closed curve $c \subset F_g^2$ bounds a locally flat, properly embedded, orientable compact surface $S \hookrightarrow X_K$ with ∂S embedded as $c \times \mathrm{pt}$.*

Proof. This is well-known, following from an easy homological argument. In fact, since K is null-homologous, the normal bundle of K in Y is trivial, so ∂X has a natural circle bundle structure $p: \partial X \to F_g^2$ over F_g^2 which splits. The splitting are given by framings of the normal bundle, which are in natural bijection to all the homomorphisms $\iota: H_1(F_g^2) \to H_1(\partial X)$ such that $p_* \circ \iota: H_1(F_g^2) \to H_1(F_g^2)$ is the identity. Using the Poincaré duality and the homology excisions, it is easy to see $H^1(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $H^1(X, \partial X) = 0$. Thus the homomorphism $H^1(X) \to H^1(\partial X)$ is injective, and the generator of $H_1(X)$ induces a homomorphism $\alpha: H_1(\partial X) \to \mathbb{Z}$. It is straightforward to check that α evaluates to be ± 1 on the circle-fiber of ∂X, so the kernel of α projects isomorphically onto $H_1(F_g^2)$ via p_*. This gives rise to the canonical splitting $\partial X = F_g^2 \times S^1$. It follows clearly from the construction that $H_1(F_g^2) \to H_1(X)$ is trivial. Moreover, if $c \times \mathrm{pt}$ is an essential simple closed curve on $K \times \mathrm{pt}$, it is homologically trivial in X, so it represents an element $[a_1, b_1] \cdots [a_k, b_k]$ in the commutator subgroup of $\pi_1(X)$. We take a compact orientable surface S' of genus k with exactly one boundary componennt, and there is a map $j: S' \to X$ sending $\partial S'$ homeomorphically onto $c \times \mathrm{pt}$. By a general position argument we may assume j to be a locally flat proper immersion, and doing surgeries at double points yields a locally flat, properly embedded, orientable compact surface $S \hookrightarrow X$ bounded by $c \times \mathrm{pt}$. □

This allows us to make the following definition:

Definition 2.2. Let $K: T^2 \hookrightarrow S^4$ be a knotted torus. For any slope, i.e. an essential simple closed curve, $c \subset K$, the *genus*:

$$g_K(c),$$

of c is defined to be the minimum of the genus of F, as F runs over all the locally flat, properly embedded, orientable, compact subsurfaces of X_K bounded by $c \times \mathrm{pt} \subset \partial X_K$, (cf. Lemma 2.1). The *singular genus*:

$$g_K^\star(c),$$

of c is defined to be the minimum of the genus of F, as F runs over all the compact orientable surfaces with connected nonempty boundary such that there is a continuous map $F \to X_K$ sending ∂F homeomorphically onto $c \times \mathrm{pt}$.

Remark 2.3. Recall that for a group G and any element u in the commutator subgroup $[G, G]$, the *commutator length*:

$$\mathrm{cl}(u),$$

of u is the smallest possible integer $k \geq 0$ such that u can be written as a product of commutators $[a_1, b_1] \cdots [a_k, b_k]$, where $a_i, b_i \in G$, and $i = 1, \cdots, k$. Note that elements of $[G, G]$ that are conjugate in G have the same commutator length. As indicated in the proof of Lemma 2.1, it is clear that the singular genus $g^\star_K(c)$ is the commutator length $\mathrm{cl}(c)$, regarding c as an element of the commutator subgroup of $\pi_1(X_K)$.

2.2. **Extendable subgroup.** Let $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$ be the mapping class group of the torus, consisting of the isotopy classes of orientation-preserving self-homeomorphisms of T^2. Fixing a basis of $H_1(T^2)$, one can naturally identify $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$ as $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. We often refer to the elements of $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$ as *automorphisms* of T^2, and do not distinguish elements of $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$ and their representatives.

For any knotted torus $K : T^2 \hookrightarrow S^4$, an automorphism $\tau \in \mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$ is said to be *extendable* with respect to K if τ can be extended as an orientation-preserving self-homeomorphism of S^4 via K. Note that this notion does not depend on the choice of the representative of τ, (cf. [DLWY, Lemma 2.4]). It is also clear that all the extendable automorphisms form a subgroup of $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$.

Definition 2.4. For a knotted torus $K : T^2 \hookrightarrow S^4$, the *extendable subgroup* with respect to K is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$ consisting of all the extendable automorphisms, denoted as:

$$\mathscr{E}_K \leq \mathrm{Mod}(T^2).$$

The extendable subgroup $\mathscr{E}_K$ reflects some essential difference between knotted tori and and knotted spheres (i.e. 2-knots) in S^4. For instance, it is known that $\mathscr{E}_K$ is always a proper subgroup of $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$, of index at least three, ([DLWY], cf. [Mo] for the diffeomorphism extension case). Moreover, the index three is realized by any unknotted embedding, namely, which bounds an embedded solid torus $S^1 \times D^2$ in S^4, ([Mo], cf. [Hi2] for the general case of trivially embedded surfaces). In [Hi1], $\mathscr{E}_K$ has been computed for the so-called spun T^2-knots and twisted spun T^2-knots. It is also clear that connecting sum with a knotted sphere in S^4 does not change the extendable subgroup. However, for general knotted tori in S^4, the extendable subgroup $\mathscr{E}_K$ is poorly understood.

In [HY], Susumu Hirose and Akira Yasuhara considered the general diffeomorphism extension for knotted closed surfaces $K : F^2_g \hookrightarrow X$ into any closed 4-manifold X, and showed that every automorphism $\tau \in \mathrm{Mod}(F^2_g)$ becomes extendable if one passed to a stabilized embedding $K' : F^2_g \#(S^2 \times \mathrm{pt}) \hookrightarrow X\#(S^2 \times S^2)$. Inspired by their construction, we come up with a milder process of stabilization, which preserves the fundamental group of the exterior:

Definition 2.5. Let $K : T^2 \hookrightarrow S^4$ be a knotted torus in S^4. For any integers $m, n \geq 0$, we define the *(m, n)-stabilization* of K to be the embedding:

$$K[m, n] : T^2 \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^2)^{\# m} \# (\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^2})^{\# n},$$

by removing the regular neighborhood of $m+n$ points in S^4 disjoint from the image of K, and gluing copies of the punctured complex projective plane $(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^2 - \mathrm{pt})$ and its orientation-reversal $(\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^2} - \mathrm{pt})$. Note the isotopy class of $K[m,n]$ does not depend on the choice of the regular neighborhood of the $m+n$ points.

Definition 2.6. If $K[m,n]$ is a stabilized knotted torus as above, we define the (m,n)-*stable extendable subgroup* $\mathscr{E}_{K[m,n]}$ to be the subgroup of $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$ consisting of all the automorphisms of T^2 that extends over $(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^2)^{\# m}\#(\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^2})^{\# n}$ as an orientation-preserving self-homeomorphism via $K[m,n]$. We also define the *stable extendable subgroup* as:

$$\mathscr{E}^{\mathsf{s}}_K = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty}\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{E}_{K[m,n]}.$$

It is clear that the stable extendable subgroup $\mathscr{E}^{\mathsf{s}}_K$ contains the extendable subgroup $\mathscr{E}_K$, but in general they are not equal. For instance, if K is unknotted, $\mathscr{E}^{\mathsf{s}}_K$ equals $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$, (Corollary 2.10), but $\mathscr{E}_K$ is a proper subgroup of index three in $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$ as we have mentioned above.

2.3. **Criteria on stable extensions.**

In this subsection, we provide criteria on the stable extendable subgroup in terms of the singular genera.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $K : T^2 \hookrightarrow S^4$ be a knotted torus. Then the following statements are true:*

1. *If the singular genus $g^{\star}_K(c)$ takes infinitely many distinct values as c runs over all the slopes of K, then the stable extendable subgroup $\mathscr{E}^{\mathsf{s}}_K$ is of infinite index in $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$;*
2. *If there are at most finitely many distinct slopes $c \subset K$ with the singular genus $g^{\star}_K(c)$ at most C for every $C > 0$, then the stable extendable subgroup $\mathscr{E}^{\mathsf{s}}_K$ is finite.*

Remark 2.8. Hence the same holds for the extendable subgroup $\mathscr{E}_K$. Using a similar argument, one can also show that the statements remain true when replacing $g^{\star}_K$ with g_K, and $\mathscr{E}^{\mathsf{s}}_K$ with $\mathscr{E}_K$.

Proof. First observe that the singular genus of a slope is invariant under the action of a stably-extendable automorphism, namely, if $\tau \in \mathscr{E}^{\mathsf{s}}_K$, then $g^{\star}_K(c) = g^{\star}_K(\tau(c))$ for every slope $c \subset K$. This is clear because by the definition, τ extends over $X'_K = X_K\#(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^2)^{\# m}\#(\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^2})^{\# n}$ as a homeomorphism $\tilde{\tau} : X'_K \to X'_K$, for some integers $m, n \geq 0$. This induces an automorphism of $\pi_1(X'_K) \cong \pi_1(X_K)$, which preserves the commutator length of c, or equivalently, the singular genus $g^{\star}_K(c)$, (Remark 2.3).

To see Statement (1), note that $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$ acts transitively on the space $\mathcal{C}$ of all the slopes on T^2. It follows immediately from the invariance of singular genera above that the cardinality of value set of $g^{\star}_K$ is at most the index $[\mathrm{Mod}(T^2) : \mathscr{E}^{\mathsf{s}}_K]$. Thus if the range of $g^{\star}_K$ is infinite, the index of $\mathscr{E}^{\mathsf{s}}_K$ in $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$ is also infinite.

To see Statement (2), suppose $\tau \in \mathscr{E}^{\mathsf{s}}_K$. By the assumption and the invariance of the singular genus under τ, for any slope $c \subset K$, there are at most finitely many distinct slopes in the sequence $c, \tau(c), \tau^2(c), \cdots$. Thus for some integers $k > l \geq 0$, $\tau^k(c)$ is isotopic to $\tau^l(c)$, or in other words, $\tau^d(c)$ is isotopic to c, where $d = k - l$. As c is arbitrary, τ is a torsion element in $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$, so $\mathscr{E}^{\mathsf{s}}_K$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$ consisting purely of torsion elements. It follows immediately that $\mathscr{E}^{\mathsf{s}}_K$ is a finite subgroup from the well-known fact that $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2) \cong \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ is virtually torsion-free. Indeed, the index of any finite-index torsion-free normal subgroup N from $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$ yields an upper bound of the size of $\mathscr{E}^{\mathsf{s}}_K$. □

Fix an orientation of the torus T^2. For any slope $c \subset T^2$ on the torus, we denote the (right-hand) Dehn twist along c as:

$$\tau_c : T^2 \to T^2.$$

More precisely, the induced automorphism on $H_1(T^2)$ is given by $\tau_{c*}(\alpha) = \alpha + I([c],\alpha)\,[c]$, for all $\alpha \in H_1(T^2)$, where $I : H_1(T^2) \times H_1(T^2) \to \mathbb{Z}$ denotes the intersection form. Note the expression is independent from the choice of the direction of c.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $K : T^2 \hookrightarrow S^4$ be a knotted torus. Suppose $c \subset T^2$ is a slope with the singular genus $g^\star_K(c) = 0$. Then the Dehn twist $\tau_c \in \mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$ along c belongs to the stable extendable subgroup $\mathscr{E}^{\mathsf{s}}_K$.*

Since $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2) \cong \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the Dehn twists along two basis slopes, we have following corollary, which, in particular, holds for any unknotted torus in S^4:

Corollary 2.10. *If the singular genus vanishes on all the slopes of a knotted torus, then all the automorphisms of the torus are stably extendable.*

In order to prove Proposition 2.9, we need some techniques used in [HY]. Let Y be a simply-connected, closed oriented 4-manifold, and let $K : T^2 \hookrightarrow Y$ be a null-homologous, locally flat embedding. By Lemma 2.1, the boundary of the compact complement $X = Y - K$ has a canonical product structure $\partial X \cong K \times S^1$. Suppose $F \hookrightarrow X$ is a locally flat, properly embedded compact oriented surface with connected boundary, such that ∂F is mapped homeomorphically onto a slope $c \times \mathrm{pt}$ of $K \times \mathrm{pt}$. Take a parallel copy $c \times \mathrm{pt}' \subset K \times \mathrm{pt}'$ of the slope, and perturb F to be another locally flat, properly embedded copy $F' \hookrightarrow X$ bounded by $c \times \mathrm{pt}'$, so that F, F' are in general position. The algebraic sum of the intersections between F and F' gives rise to an integer:

$$e(F;K) \in \mathbb{Z},$$

which we shall refer to as the *Euler number* of the normal framing of F induced from K. Note that this depends only on the class $[F] \in H_2(X, K \times \mathrm{pt})$. Indeed, there are natural isomorphisms $H_2(X, K\times\mathrm{pt}) \cong H^2(X, \partial X \setminus (K \times \mathrm{pt}))$ induced by the Poincaré-Lefschetz duality and $H^2(X, \partial X \setminus (K \times \mathrm{pt})) \cong H^2(X, K \times \mathrm{pt})$ given by the fact that $\partial X \cong K \times S^1$. Thus the relative cup product:

$$H^2(X, K \times \mathrm{pt}) \times H^2(X, \partial X \setminus (K \times \mathrm{pt})) \xrightarrow{\smile} H^4(X, \partial X) \cong \mathbb{Z},$$

gives rise to a self-pairing $I : H_2(X, K \times \mathrm{pt}) \times H_2(X, K \times \mathrm{pt}) \to \mathbb{Z}$, and clearly $e(F;K) = I([F],[F])$.

Lemma 2.11. *Suppose Y is a simply-connected, closed orientable 4-manifold, and let $K : T^2 \hookrightarrow Y$ be a locally flat embedding. If D is a locally flat, properly embedded disk in $X = Y - K$ bounded by a slope $c \times \mathrm{pt}$ on $K \times \mathrm{pt} \subset \partial X$ with $e(D;K) = \pm 1$, then the Dehn twist $\tau_c \in \mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$ along c can be extended as an orientation-preserving self-homeomorphism of Y.*

Proof. Following the arguments in the proof of [HY, Theorem 4.1], take the compact normal disk bundle ν_D of D, identified as embedded in X such that $\nu_D \cap (K \times \mathrm{pt})$ is the subbundle $\nu_D|_{\partial D}$. Then $e(D;K) = \pm 1$ implies that $\nu_D \cap (K \times \mathrm{pt})$ is a (positive or negative) Hopf band in the 3-sphere $\partial \nu_D$, whose core is $c \times \mathrm{pt}$. Thus τ_c extends over Y as a self-homeomorphism by [HY, Proposition 2.1]. □

Proof of Proposition 2.9. Suppose $c \subset K$ is a slope with the singular genus $g^\star_K(c) = 0$, then there is a map $j : D^2 \to X_K$ so that ∂D^2 is mapped homeomorphically onto $c \times \mathrm{pt}$ in $\partial X \cong K \times S^1$. We may also assume j to be an immersion by the general position argument. Blowing up all the double points of $j(D^2)$, we obtain an embedding $j' : D^2 \hookrightarrow X\#(\overline{\mathrm{CP}^2})^{\# r}$ for some integer $r \geq 0$. Suppose $e(j'(D);K)$ equals $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $s > 1$, we may further blow up $s-1$ points in $j'(D) \subset X\#(\overline{\mathrm{CP}^2})^{\# r}$. This gives rise to $j'' : D^2 \hookrightarrow X\#(\mathrm{CP}^2)^{\#(r+s-1)}$ satisfying the assumption of Lemma 2.11, so the Dehn twist τ_c is extendable over $X\#(\mathrm{CP}^2)^{\#(r+s-1)}$, or in other words, $\tau_c \in \mathscr{E}_K[0, r+s-1]$ in this case. If $s < 1$, a similar argument shows $\tau_c \in \mathscr{E}_K[1-s, r]$ using negative blow-ups. □

3. Induced seminorms on $H_1(T^2;\mathbb{R})$

In this section, we introduce the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_K$ on $H_1(T^2;\mathbb{R})$ induced from any knotted torus $K : T^2 \hookrightarrow S^4$. This may be regarded as a generalization of the (singular) Thurston norm in 3-dimensional topology. We prove a Schubert-type inequality in terms of seminorms associated with satellite constructions.

3.1. **The induced seminorm.** There are various ways to formulate the induced seminorm, among which we shall take a more topological one. Suppose $K : T^2 \hookrightarrow S^4$ is a knotted torus in S^4. We shall first define the value of $\|\cdot\|_K$ on $H_1(T^2;\mathbb{Z})$ then extend linearly and continuously over $H_1(K;\mathbb{R})$.

Recall that for a connected orientable compact surface F, the complexity of F is defined as $\chi_-(F) = \max\{-\chi(F), 0\}$. In general, for an orientable compact surface $F = F_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup F_s$, the *complexity* of F is defined as:

$$x(F) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \chi_-(F_i).$$

For any $\gamma \in H_1(T^2)$, identified as an element of $H_1(\partial X_K)$, there exists a smooth immersion of pairs $(F, \partial F) \looparrowright (X_K, \partial X_K)$ such that F is a (possibly disconnected) oriented compact surface, and that ∂F represents γ. We define the *complexity* of γ as:

$$x(\gamma) = \min_F x(F),$$

where F runs through all the possible immersed surfaces as described above.

The fact below follows immediately from the definition.

Lemma 3.1. *With the notations above,*

1. $x(n\gamma) \leq n x(\gamma)$, *for any* $\gamma \in H_1(T^2)$ *and any integer* $n \geq 0$*;*
2. $x(\gamma' + \gamma'') \leq x(\gamma') + x(\gamma'')$, *for any* $\gamma', \gamma'' \in H_1(T^2)$.

Definition 3.2. Let $K : T^2 \hookrightarrow S^2$ be a knotted torus. For any $\gamma \in H_1(T^2)$, we define:

$$\|\gamma\|_K = \inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{x(m\gamma)}{m}.$$

Lemma 3.3. *The following statements are true.*

1. $\|n\gamma\|_K = n\|\gamma\|_K$, *for any* $\gamma \in H_1(T^2)$ *and any integer* $n \geq 0$*;*
2. $\|\gamma' + \gamma''\|_K \leq \|\gamma'\|_K + \|\gamma''\|_K$, *for any* $\gamma', \gamma'' \in H_1(T^2)$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.1 and some elementary arguments. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there is some $m > 0$ such that $\|\gamma\|_K > \frac{x(m\gamma)}{m} - \epsilon$, which by Lemma 3.1, $\geq \frac{x(nm\gamma)}{nm} - \epsilon \geq \frac{\|n\gamma\|_K}{n} - \epsilon$. Let $\epsilon \to 0$, we see $\|\gamma\|_K \geq \frac{\|n\gamma\|_K}{n}$. Moreover, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $m > 0$ such that $\|n\gamma\|_K > \frac{x(mn\gamma)}{m} - \epsilon \geq n\|\gamma\|_K - \epsilon$. Let $\epsilon \to 0$, we see $\|n\gamma\|_K \geq n\|\gamma\|_K$. This proves the first statement. To prove the second statement, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there are $m', m'' > 0$ such that $\|\gamma'\|_K > \frac{x(m'\gamma')}{m'} - \epsilon$, $\|\gamma''\|_K > \frac{x(m''\gamma'')}{m''} - \epsilon$, so using Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\gamma'\|_K + \|\gamma''\|_K &> \frac{x(m'\gamma')}{m'} + \frac{x(m''\gamma'')}{m''} - 2\epsilon \\
&\geq \frac{x(m'm''\gamma')}{m'm''} + \frac{x(m'm''\gamma'')}{m'm''} - 2\epsilon \\
&\geq \frac{x(m'm''(\gamma' + \gamma''))}{m'm''} - 2\epsilon \\
&\geq \|\gamma' + \gamma''\|_K - 2\epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\epsilon \to 0$, we see the second statement. ☐

Provided Lemma 3.3, we can extend $\|\cdot\|_K$ radially over $H_1(T^2; \mathbb{Q})$, then extend continuously over $H_1(T^2; \mathbb{R})$. This uniquely defines a seminorm:

$$\|\cdot\|_K : H_1(T^2; \mathbb{R}) \to [0, +\infty).$$

Recall a seminorm on a real vector space V is a function $\|\cdot\| : V \to [0, +\infty)$ such that $\|rv\| = |r|\,\|v\|$, for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $v \in V$; and that $\|v' + v''\| \leq \|v'\| + \|v''\|$, for any $v', v'' \in V$. It is a norm if it is in addition positive-definite, namely $\|v\| = 0$ if and only if $v \in V$ is zero.

Definition 3.4. Let $K : T^2 \hookrightarrow S^4$ be a knotted torus, and $c \subset T^2$ be a slope. Then the seminorm $\|c\|_K$ is defined as $\|[c]\|_K$, where $[c] \in H_1(T^2)$.

Remark 3.5. Recall that for a group G and any element u in the commutator subgroup $[G, G]$, the *stable commutator length*:

$$\mathrm{scl}(u) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\mathrm{cl}(u^n)}{n},$$

where $\mathrm{cl}(\cdot)$ denotes the commutator length (Remark 2.3). It is not hard to see that for any slope $c \subset K$, the seminorm $\|c\|_K$ equals $\mathrm{scl}(c)$, regarding c as an element of the commutator subgroup of $\pi_1(X_K)$, (cf. [Ca, Proposition 2.10]).

The observation below follows immediately from the definition and Proposition 2.7:

Lemma 3.6. *If* $c \subset K$ *is a slope with* $\|c\|_K > 0$*, then* $g^\star_K(c) \geq \frac{\|c\|_K + 1}{2}$*. Hence the stable extendable subgroup* $\mathscr{E}^{\mathsf{s}}_K$ *is finite if* $\|\cdot\|_K$ *is nondegenerate. The same holds if replacing* $g^\star_K$ *with* g_K *and* $\mathscr{E}^{\mathsf{s}}_K$ *with* $\mathscr{E}_K$*.*

3.2. **The satellite construction.** We consider the satellite construction for knotted tori analogous to that of classical knots in S^3. Fix a product structure of $T^2 \cong S^1 \times S^1$. We shall denote the standardly parametrized thickened torus as:

$$\Theta^4 = S^1 \times S^1 \times D^2.$$

The standard unknotted torus $T_{\mathtt{std}} : T^2 \subset S^4$ is known as a smoothly embedded torus such that $T_{\mathtt{std}}$ bounds two smoothly embedded solid tori $D^2 \times S^1$ and $S^1 \times D^2$ in S^4, respective to factors. It is unique up to diffeotopy of S^4. Let $K_{\mathtt{c}} : T^2 \hookrightarrow S^4$ be a knotted torus. There is a natural trivial product structure on a compact tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{N}(K_{\mathtt{c}}) \cong T^2 \times D^2$ of $K_{\mathtt{c}}$, so that $c \times *$ is homologically trivial in the complement $X_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}$ for any slope $c \subset T^2$. Thus there is a natural isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{N}(K_{\mathtt{c}}) \cong \Theta^4,$$

up to isotopy, as we fixed the product structure on T^2.

Definition 3.7. A *pattern* knotted torus is a smooth embedding $K_{\mathtt{p}} : T^2 \hookrightarrow \Theta^4$. The *winding number* $w(K_{\mathtt{p}})$ of $K_{\mathtt{p}}$ is the algebraic intersection number of $[K_{\mathtt{p}}] \in H_2(\Theta^4)$ and the fiber disk $[\mathrm{pt} \times \mathrm{pt} \times D^2] \in H_2(\Theta^4, \partial\Theta^4)$.

Definition 3.8. Let $K_{\mathtt{c}} : T^2 \hookrightarrow S^4$ be a knotted torus and $K_{\mathtt{p}} : T^2 \hookrightarrow \Theta^4$ be a pattern knotted torus. After fixing a product structure on T^2, the *satellite* knotted torus, denoted as:

$$K = K_{\mathtt{c}} \cdot K_{\mathtt{p}},$$

is the composition:

$$T^2 \xrightarrow{K_{\mathtt{p}}} \Theta^4 \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{N}(K_{\mathtt{c}}) \xrightarrow{\subset} S^4.$$

We call $K_{\mathtt{c}}$ the *companion* knotted torus. The *desatellite* $\hat{K}_{\mathtt{p}} : T^2 \hookrightarrow S^4$ of K is the knotted torus $\hat{K}_{\mathtt{p}} = T_{\mathtt{std}} \cdot K_{\mathtt{p}}$.

For any element $\gamma \in H_1(T^2)$ and a pattern $K_{\mathtt{p}} : T^2 \hookrightarrow \Theta^4$, there is a pushforward element $\gamma_{\mathtt{c}} \in H_1(T^2)$ under the composition:

$$T^2 \xrightarrow{K_{\mathtt{p}}} \Theta^4 \xrightarrow{\cong} T^2 \times D^2 \longrightarrow T^2,$$

where the isomorphism respects the choice of the product structure on T^2, and the last map is the projection onto the T^2 factor. If $K = K_{\mathtt{c}} \cdot K_{\mathtt{p}}$ is a satellite with pattern $K_{\mathtt{p}}$, one should regard γ as an element of $H_1(K)$, and $\gamma_{\mathtt{c}}$ as an element of $H_1(K_{\mathtt{c}})$.

3.3. **A Schubert type inequality.** The theorem below is analogous to the Schubert inequality in the classical knot theory ([Sc, Kapitel II, §12]).

Theorem 3.9. *Suppose $K = K_{\mathtt{c}} \cdot K_{\mathtt{p}}$ is a satellite knotted torus in S^4. Then for any $\gamma \in H_1(T^2; \mathbb{R})$,*

$$\|\gamma\|_K \geq \|\gamma\|_{\hat{K}_{\mathtt{p}}}.$$

Moreover, if the winding number $w(K_{\mathtt{p}})$ is nonzero, then:

$$\|\gamma\|_K \geq \|\gamma\|_{\hat{K}_{\mathtt{p}}} + \|\gamma_{\mathtt{c}}\|_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}.$$

We prove Theorem 3.9 in the rest of this subsection.

Let X_K be the complement of the satellite knot $K = K_{\mathtt{c}} \cdot K_{\mathtt{p}}$ in S^4. The satellite construction gives a decomposition:

$$X_K = Y \cup X_{K_{\mathtt{c}}},$$

glued along the image of $\partial\Theta^4$. Y is diffeomorphic to the complement of $K_{\mathtt{p}}$ in Θ^4, so it has two boundary components, namely the *satellite boundary* $\partial_{\mathtt{s}}Y$ which is ∂X_K, and the *companion boundary* $\partial_{\mathtt{c}}Y$ which is the image of $\partial\Theta^4$.

Similarly, the complement $X_{\hat{K}_{\mathtt{p}}}$ can be decomposed as $Y \cup X_{T_{\mathtt{std}}}$.

The first inequality is proved in the following lemma:

Lemma 3.10. $\|\gamma\|_K \geq \|\gamma\|_{\hat{K}_{\mathtt{p}}}$.

Proof. We equip $X_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}$ with a finite CW complex structure such that there is only one 0-cell and the 0-cell is contained in $\partial X_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}$, which is a subcomplex of $X_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}$. Let $X^{(q)}_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}$ be the union of $\partial X_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}$ and the q-skeleton of $X_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}$. We may extend the identity map on Y to a continuous map $f : Y \cup X^{(2)}_{K_{\mathtt{c}}} \to X_{\hat{K}_{\mathtt{p}}}$. To see this, note that the inclusion map $\partial X_K \to X_K$ induces a surjective map on H_1 for any $K : T^2 \to S^4$, so the identity map on $\partial X_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}$ induces a natural isomorphism $H_1(X_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}) \cong H_1(X_{T_{\mathtt{std}}})$. Every 1-cell in $X_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}$ represents a 1-cycle, we can extend $\mathrm{id}_{\partial_{\mathtt{c}}Y}$ to a map $f| : X^{(1)}_{K_{\mathtt{c}}} \to X_{T_{\mathtt{std}}}$, so that the induced map $H_1(X^{(1)}_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}) \to H_1(X_{T_{\mathtt{std}}})$ agrees with the map on the first homology induced by $X^{(1)}_{K_{\mathtt{c}}} \hookrightarrow X_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}$. It is easy to see $X_{T_{\mathtt{std}}} \simeq S^1 \vee S^2 \vee S^2$, so $\pi_1(X_{T_{\mathtt{std}}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Hence the previous $f|$ can be further extended as $f| : X^{(2)}_{K_{\mathtt{c}}} \to X_{T_{\mathtt{std}}}$ as the boundary of any 2-cell is mapped to a null-homotopic loop in $X_{T_{\mathtt{std}}}$ by the construction.

Thus we obtain a map $f : Y \cup X^{(2)}_{K_{\mathtt{c}}} \to X_{\hat{K}_{\mathtt{p}}}$ by the map above and the identity on Y. Let $j : F \looparrowright X_K$ be an immersed compact orientable surface such that $j(\partial F) \subset \partial X_K$. We may assume F meets $\partial_{\mathtt{c}}Y$ transversely. We homotope j to $j' : F \to Y \cup X^{(2)}_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}$. Then we obtain a map $f \circ j' : F \to X_{\hat{K}_{\mathtt{p}}}$ which may be homotoped to an immersion. As F is arbitrary, this clearly impies $\|\gamma\|_K \geq \|\gamma\|_{\hat{K}_{\mathtt{p}}}$ by the definition of the seminorm. ☐

Now we proceed to consider the case when $w(K_{\mathtt{p}}) \neq 0$. The image of $\mathrm{pt} \times \mathrm{pt} \times \partial D^2 \subset Y$ under the natural inclusion $Y \subset X_K$ will be denoted $\mu_{\mathtt{c}}$. We call $\mu_{\mathtt{c}}$ the *companion meridian*. The following lemma follows immediately from the construction:

Lemma 3.11. *Identify* $H_1(X_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $H_1(X_K) \cong \mathbb{Z}$*, then* $H_1(X_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}) \to H_1(X_K)$ *is the mulplication by* $w(K_{\mathtt{p}})$.

Proof. Note $\mu_{\mathtt{c}}$ represents a generator of $H_1(X_{K_{\mathtt{c}}})$. By definition of $w(K_{\mathtt{p}})$, $\mu_{\mathtt{c}}$ is homologous to $w(K_{\mathtt{p}})$ times the meridian of K. The lemma follows as the meridian of K generates $H_1(X_K) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ by the Alexander duality. ☐

Lemma 3.12. *If* $w(K_{\mathtt{p}}) \neq 0$*, then the inclusion map* $\partial_{\mathtt{c}}Y \subset Y$ *induces an injective homomorphism* $H_1(\partial_{\mathtt{c}}Y) \to H_1(Y)$*. In particular, the inclusion map* $\partial_{\mathtt{c}}Y \subset Y$ *is* π_1*-injective.*

Proof. By the long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \to H_2(Y, \partial_{\mathtt{c}}Y) \to H_1(\partial_{\mathtt{c}}Y) \to H_1(Y) \to \cdots,$$

it suffices to show $H_2(Y, \partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y)$ is finite, since $H_1(\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y) \cong H_1(\partial\Theta^4)$ is torsion-free. By the Poincaré-Lefschetz duality and the excision,

$$H_2(Y, \partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y) \cong H^2(Y, \partial_{\mathtt{s}} Y) \cong H^2(\Theta^4, K_{\mathtt{p}}).$$

The long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \to H^1(\Theta^4) \to H^1(K_{\mathtt{p}}) \to H^2(\Theta^4, K_{\mathtt{p}}) \to H^2(\Theta^4) \to H^2(K_p) \to \cdots,$$

is induced by the inclusion $K_{\mathtt{p}} \subset \Theta^4$, (or equivalently by $K_{\mathtt{p}} : T^2 \hookrightarrow \Theta^4$). Since $\Theta^4 \simeq T^2$, $K_{\mathtt{p}}$ induces a map $h : T^2 \to T^2$. It is also clear that $w(K_{\mathtt{p}})$ is the degree of h. Since $w(K_{\mathtt{p}}) \neq 0$, it is clear that the map $h^* : H^*(T^2) \to H^*(T^2)$ is injective on all dimensions, so must be $H^*(\Theta^4) \to H^*(K_{\mathtt{p}})$. Thus $H^2(\Theta^4, K_{\mathtt{p}})$ is finite from the long exact sequence. We conclude $H_2(Y, \partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y)$ is finite as desired. □

Note it suffices to prove Theorem 3.9 for $\gamma \in H_1(T^2; \mathbb{Z})$. Remember we regard γ as in $H_1(K)$, identified as the kernel of $H_1(\partial X_K) \to H_1(X_K)$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, let $j : F \looparrowright X_K$ be a properly immersed orientable compact (possibly disconnected) surface, i.e. $j^{-1}(\partial X_K) = \partial F$, such that $j_*[\partial F] = m\,\gamma$ for some integer $m > 0$, and that:

$$\|\gamma\|_K \leq \frac{x(F)}{m} < \|\gamma\|_K + \epsilon.$$

We may assume F has no disk or closed component, so the complexity $x(F) = -\chi(F)$. We may also assume F intersects $\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y$ transversely, so $j^{-1}(\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y)$ is a disjoint union of simple closed curves on F. Write $F_{\mathtt{p}}$, $F_{\mathtt{c}}$ for $j^{-1}(Y)$, $j^{-1}(X_{K_{\mathtt{c}}})$, respectively.

Lemma 3.13. *Suppose $w(K_{\mathtt{p}}) \neq 0$. If V is a component of $F_{\mathtt{p}}$ such that $j(\partial V) \subset \partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y$, then there is a map $j'| : V \to \partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y$, such that $j'|_{\partial V} = j$.*

Proof. We may take a collection of embedded arcs $u_1, \cdots, u_n$ whose endpoints lie on ∂V, cutting V into a disk D. This gives a cellular decomposition of V. We may first extend the map $j|_{\partial V} : \partial V \to \partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y$ to a map $j'|_{V^{(1)}}$ over the 1-skeleton of V. Let $\phi : \partial D \to V^{(1)}$ be the attaching map. We have $j'_*\phi_*[\partial D] = j_*[\partial V]$ in $H_1(\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y)$ by the construction. As $w(K_{\mathtt{p}}) \neq 0$, by Lemma 3.12, $H_1(\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y) \to H_1(Y)$ is an injective homomorphism, so $j_*[\partial V] = 0$ in $H_1(\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y)$ since it is bounded by $j_*[V]$. Thus $j'_*\phi_*[\partial D] = 0$ in $H_1(\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y)$, and hence ∂D is null-homotopic in $\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y$ under $j' \circ \phi$ as $\pi_1(\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y) \cong H_1(\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y)$, (remember $\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y \cong \partial\Theta^4$ is a 3-torus). Therefore, we may extend $j'|_{V^{(1)}}$ further over D to obtain $j' : V \to \partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y$ as desired. □

Lemma 3.14. *We may modify $j : F \looparrowright X_K$ within the interior of F, so that every component of $j^{-1}(\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y)$ that is inessential on F bounds a disk component of $j^{-1}(X_{K_{\mathtt{c}}})$.*

Proof. Let $a \subset j^{-1}(\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y)$ be a component inessential on F, and $D \subset F$ be an embedded disk whose boundary is a. Suppose D is not contained in $F_{\mathtt{c}}$, then $D \cap F_{\mathtt{p}} \neq \emptyset$. Any component of $D \cap F_{\mathtt{p}}$ must have all its boundary components lying on $j^{-1}(\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y)$. By Lemma 3.13, we may redefine j on these components relative to boundary so that they are all mapped into $X_{\mathtt{c}}$. After this modification and a small perturbation, either a disappears from $j^{-1}(\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y)$ (if $\partial D \subset D \cap F_{\mathtt{p}}$), or at least one component of $j^{-1}(\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y)$ in the interior of D disappears (if $\partial D \subset D \cap F_{\mathtt{c}}$). Thus the number of inessential components of $j^{-1}(\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y)$ decreases strictly under this modification. Therefore, after at most finitely many such modifications, every inessential component of $j^{-1}(\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y)$ bounds a disk component of $F_{\mathtt{c}}$. □

Without loss of generality, we assume that $j : F \looparrowright X_K$ satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 3.14.

Lemma 3.15. *There is a finite cyclic covering $\kappa : \tilde{F} \to F$ such that for every essential component $a \in j^{-1}(\partial_{\mathsf{c}} Y)$ with $[j(a)] \neq 0$ in $H_1(X_K)$, and every component $\tilde{a}$ of $\kappa^{-1}(a)$, the image $j(\kappa(\tilde{a}))$ represents the same element in $H_1(X_K) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ up to sign.*

Proof. Let $a_1, \cdots, a_s$ be all the essential components $j^{-1}(\partial_{\mathsf{c}} Y)$ such that $[j(a_i)] \neq 0$ in $H_1(X_K) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Let $d > 0$ be the least common multiple of all the $[j(a_i)]$'s. Consider the covering $\kappa : \tilde{F} \to F$ corresponding to the preimage of the subgroup $d \cdot H_1(X_K)$ under $\pi_1(F) \to \pi_1(X_K) \to H_1(X_K)$. It is straightforward to check that κ satisfies the conclusion. □

Let $\kappa : \tilde{F} \to F$ be a covering as obtained in Lemma 3.15. Let $d > 0$ be the degree of κ, so $x(\tilde{F}) = d\, x(F)$. Clearly $j_* \kappa_* [\partial \tilde{F}] = md\, \gamma$, and also:

$$\|\gamma\|_K \leq \frac{x(\tilde{F})}{md} < \|\gamma\|_K + \epsilon.$$

Moreover, as any inessential component of $j^{-1}(\partial_{\mathsf{c}} Y)$ bounds a disk component of F_{c}, it is clear that any inessential component of $(j \circ \kappa)^{-1}(\partial_{\mathsf{c}} Y)$ bounds a disk component of $\tilde{F}_{\mathsf{c}} = \kappa^{-1}(F_{\mathsf{c}})$.

Therefore, instead of using $j : F \looparrowright X_K$, we may use $j \circ \kappa : \tilde{F} \looparrowright X_K$ as well. From now on, we rewrite $j \circ \kappa$ as j, $\tilde{F}$ as F, and md as m, so $j : F \looparrowright X_K$ satisfies the conclusions of Lemmas 3.14, 3.15.

Let $Q \subset F_{\mathsf{c}}$ be the union of the disk components of F_{c}. Let F'_{c} be $F_{\mathsf{c}} - Q$, and F'_{p} be $F_{\mathsf{p}} \cup Q$ (glued up along adjacent boundary components). We have the decompositions:

$$F = F_{\mathsf{p}} \cup F_{\mathsf{c}} = F'_{\mathsf{p}} \cup F'_{\mathsf{c}}.$$

Moreover, there is no inessential component of $\partial F'_{\mathsf{c}}$ by our assumption on F, so F'_{c} and F'_{p} are essential subsurfaces of F (i.e. whose boundary components are essential).

Lemma 3.16. *Suppose F is a compact orientable surface with no disk or sphere component, and E_1, E_2 are essential compact subsurfaces of F with disjoint interiors such that $F = E_1 \cup E_2$. Then $x(F) = x(E_1) + x(E_2)$.*

Proof. Note $\chi(F) = \chi(E_1) + \chi(E_2)$. As each E_i is essential, there is no disk component of E_i, and by the assumption there is no sphere component, either. Thus, for each component C of E_i, $x(C) = -\chi(C)$. We have $x(F) = x(E_1) + x(E_2)$. □

The desatellite term in Theorem 3.9 comes from the following construction.

Lemma 3.17. *Under the assumptions above, there is a properly immersed compact orientable surface $\hat{j} : \hat{F}'_{\mathsf{p}} \looparrowright X_{\hat{K}_{\mathsf{p}}}$ such that $x(\hat{F}'_{\mathsf{p}}) \leq x(F'_{\mathsf{p}})$, and that $\hat{j}_*[\partial \hat{F}'_{\mathsf{p}}] = m\gamma$ in $H_1(T^2)$.*

Proof. As F has been assumed to satisfy the conclusion of Lemma 3.15, there is an $\omega \in H_1(X_K)$, such that every component of $\partial_{\mathsf{c}} F'_{\mathsf{p}}$ (i.e. $F'_{\mathsf{p}} \cap j^{-1}(\partial_{\mathsf{c}} Y)$) represents either $\pm\omega$ or 0, and the algebraic sum over all the components is zero since they bound $j(F'_{\mathsf{c}}) \subset X_K$. Thus we may assume there are s components representing 0,

t components representing ω, and t components representing $-\omega$, where $s, t \geq 0$. We construct $\hat{F}'_{\mathtt{p}}$ by attaching s disks and t annuli to $\partial_{\mathtt{c}} F'_{\mathtt{p}}$, such that each disk is attached to a component representing 0, and each annulus is attached to a pair of components representing opposite $\pm\omega$-classes. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the union of attached disks, and $\mathcal{A}$ be the union of attached annuli. The result is a compact orientable surface $\hat{F}'_{\mathtt{p}} = F'_{\mathtt{p}} \cup \mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{A}$, such that $\partial \hat{F}'_{\mathtt{p}} \cong \partial F$. It is clear that $x(\hat{F}'_{\mathtt{p}}) \leq x(F'_{\mathtt{p}} \cup \mathcal{A}) = x(F'_{\mathtt{p}})$, (cf. Lemma 3.16).

To construct $\hat{j}$, we extend the map $j| : F_{\mathtt{p}} \to Y \subset X_{\hat{K}_{\mathtt{p}}} = Y \cup X_{T_{\mathtt{std}}}$ over $\hat{F}_{\mathtt{p}} = F_{\mathtt{p}} \cup Q \cup \mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{A}$, using the fact that $\pi_1(X_{T_{\mathtt{std}}}) \cong H_1(X_{T_{\mathtt{std}}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Specifically, to extend the map over Q, let s be a component of $\partial_{\mathtt{c}} F_{\mathtt{p}}$ bounding a disk component of Q. Then $j_*[s] = 0$ in $H_1(X_K)$. Hence it lies in the subgroup $H_1(T^2 \times \mathrm{pt})$ of $H_1(\partial\Theta^4) \cong H_1(\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y)$, and by the desatellite construction, $\hat{j}(s)$ should also be null-homologous in $X_{T_{\mathtt{std}}}$. We can extend $\hat{j}$ over the disk $D \subset Q$ bounded by s. After extending for every component of Q, we obtain $\hat{j}| : F_{\mathtt{p}} \cup Q \to X_{\hat{K}_{\mathtt{p}}}$. Similarly, we may extend $\hat{j}|$ over $\mathcal{D}$. To extend over $\mathcal{A}$, let $A \subset \mathcal{A}$ be an attached annulus component as in the construction. Let $\partial A = s_+ \sqcup s_-$, such that $j_*[s_\pm] = \pm\omega$ in $H_1(X_K)$, respectively. By the desatellite construction, $\hat{j}_*[s_\pm] = \pm\omega$ in $H_1(X_{T_{\mathtt{std}}})$. Since $\pi_1(X_{T_{\mathtt{std}}}) \cong H_1(X_{T_{\mathtt{std}}})$, $\hat{j}(s_+)$ is free-homotopic to the orientation-reversal of $\hat{j}(s_-)$. In other words, we can extend $\hat{j}|$ over A. After extending for every attached annulus, we obtain $\hat{j} : \hat{F}'_{\mathtt{p}} \to X_{\hat{K}_{\mathtt{p}}}$.

Since $\hat{j}|_{\partial \hat{F}'_{\mathtt{p}}}$ is the as $j|_{\partial F}$ under the natural identification, $\hat{j}_*[\partial \hat{F}'_{\mathtt{p}}] = m\gamma$ in $H_1(T^2)$, (where $H_1(T^2)$ may be regarded as either $H_1(K)$ or $H_1(\hat{K}_{\mathtt{p}})$ under the natural identification). After homotoping $\hat{j} : \hat{F}'_{\mathtt{p}} \to X_{\hat{K}_{\mathtt{p}}}$ to a smooth immersion, we obtain the map as desired. □

The contribution of the companion term in Theorem 3.9 basically comes from $F'_{\mathtt{c}}$. However, $j_*[F'_{\mathtt{c}}]$ does not necessarily represent $m\gamma_{\mathtt{c}}$, but may differ by a term of zero $\|\cdot\|_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}$-seminorm.

To be precise, note the image of any component of $\partial Q \subset \partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y$ under j lies in the kernel of $H_1(\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y) \to H_1(X_{K_{\mathtt{c}}})$, which we may identify with $H_1(K_{\mathtt{c}})$. Thus $\alpha = j_*[\partial Q] \in H_1(\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y)$ in fact lies in $H_1(K_{\mathtt{c}})$. It is also clear that $j_*[\partial F_{\mathtt{c}}] = m\,\gamma_{\mathtt{c}} \in H_1(K_{\mathtt{c}}) < H_1(\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y)$. Thus $\beta = m\,\gamma_{\mathtt{c}} - \alpha$ in $H_1(K_{\mathtt{c}}) < H_1(\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y)$ is represented by $j_*[F'_{\mathtt{c}}]$. We have:

$$m\gamma_{\mathtt{c}} = \alpha + \beta.$$

Lemma 3.18. *With the notations above, $\|\alpha\|_{K_{\mathtt{c}}} = 0$, and hence $m\|\gamma_{\mathtt{c}}\|_{K_{\mathtt{c}}} = \|\beta\|_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}$.*

Proof. For any component $s \subset \partial Q$, s bounds an embedded disk component D of $Q \subset F_{\mathtt{c}}$ by the definition of Q. It follows that $j(s)$ is null-homotopic in $X_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}$, and hence $\|j_*[s]\|_{K_{\mathtt{c}}} = 0$. As this works for any component of ∂Q, we see $\|\alpha\|_{K_{\mathtt{c}}} = \|j_*[\partial Q]\|_{K_{\mathtt{c}}} = 0$. The 'hence' part follows from that $\|\cdot\|_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}$ is a seminorm on $H_1(K_{\mathtt{p}}; \mathbb{R})$. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.9.

Proof of Theorem 3.9. The first inequality follows from Lemma 3.10. In the rest, we assume $w(K_{\mathtt{p}}) \neq 0$. Let $j : F \looparrowright X_K$ be a surface that ϵ-approximates $\|\gamma\|_K$ as before. We may assume j satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 3.14 possibly after a modification. Possibly after passing to a finite cyclic covering of F, we may further assume j satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 3.15 as we have explained. We have the decomposition $F = F'_{\mathtt{p}} \cup F'_{\mathtt{c}}$ of F into essential subsurfaces, so by Lemma 3.16,

$$x(F) \;=\; x(F'_{\mathtt{p}}) + x(F'_{\mathtt{c}}).$$

By Lemma 3.17, there is an immersed surface $\hat{j} : \hat{F}'_{\mathtt{p}} \looparrowright X_{\hat{K}_{\mathtt{p}}}$ representing $m\gamma$ in $H_1(\hat{K}_{\mathtt{p}})$, with $x(\hat{F}'_{\mathtt{p}}) \leq x(F'_{\mathtt{p}})$, so:

$$x(F'_{\mathtt{p}}) \;\geq\; x(\hat{F}'_{\mathtt{p}}) \geq m\|\gamma\|_{\hat{K}_{\mathtt{p}}}.$$

By Lemma 3.18, since $j| : F'_{\mathtt{c}} \looparrowright X_{\mathtt{c}}$ is an immersed surface representing β in $H_1(K_{\mathtt{c}})$,

$$x(F'_{\mathtt{c}}) \;\geq\; \|\beta\|_{K_{\mathtt{c}}} \;=\; m\|\gamma_{\mathtt{c}}\|_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}.$$

Combining the estimates above,

$$x(F) \;\geq\; m\left(\|\gamma\|_{\hat{K}_{\mathtt{p}}} + \|\gamma_{\mathtt{c}}\|_{K_{\mathtt{c}}}\right),$$

thus:

$$\|\gamma\|_{\hat{K}_{\mathtt{p}}} + \|\gamma_{\mathtt{c}}\|_{K_{\mathtt{c}}} \;\leq\; \frac{x(F)}{m} \;<\; \|\gamma\|_K + \epsilon.$$

We conclude:

$$\|\gamma\|_{\hat{K}_{\mathtt{p}}} + \|\gamma_{\mathtt{c}}\|_{K_{\mathtt{c}}} \;\leq\; \|\gamma\|_K,$$

as $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary. ☐

4. Braid satellites

In this section, we introduce and study braid satellites.

4.1. **Braid patterns.** We shall fix a product structure on $T^2 \cong S^1 \times S^1$ throughout this section. There is a family of patterns arising from braids:

Definition 4.1. Let $b : S^1 \hookrightarrow S^1 \times D^2$ be a braid, i.e. a simple closed loop transverse to the fiber disks. Define the *standard braid pattern* P_b associated to b as:

$$P_b = \mathrm{id}_{S^1} \times b : S^1 \times S^1 \hookrightarrow \Theta^4,$$

where $\Theta^4 = S^1 \times S^1 \times D^2$ is the thickened torus. The *standard braid torus* K_b associated to b is defined as the desatellite $T_{\mathtt{std}} \cdot P_b$.

Remark 4.2. Let k_b be classical knot in S^3 associated to b, i.e. the satellite knot with the trivial companion and the pattern b. The standard braid torus K_b is sometimes called the *spun T^2-knot* obtained from k_b. In [Hi1], the extendable subgroup $\mathscr{E}_{K_b}$ has been explicitly computed.

Lemma 4.3. *If $b : S^1 \hookrightarrow S^1 \times D^2$ is a braid with winding number $w(b)$, then $w(P_b) = w(b)$. In particular, $w(P_b) \neq 0$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the construction and the definition of winding numbers. ☐

We denote the classical knot in S^3 associated to b as k_b. It is the satellite knot with the trivial companion and the pattern b.

Proposition 4.4. *Suppose b is a braid whose associated knot k_b is nontrivial. Then:*

$$\|\mathrm{pt} \times S^1\|_{K_b} \;=\; 2\,g(k_b) - 1, \textit{ and } \|S^1 \times \mathrm{pt}\|_{K_b} \;=\; 0,$$

where $g(k_b)$ denotes the genus of k_b.

Proof. For simplicity, we write K_b, k_b as K, k respectively.

To see $\|\mathrm{pt}\times S^1\|_K \geq 2g(k)-1$, the idea is to construct a map between the complements $f: X_K \to M_k$, where $X_K = S^4 - K$, and $M_k = S^3 - k$. Let $Y \subset X_K$ be the image of the complement $\Theta^4 - P_b$, and $N \subset M_k$ be the image of the complement of $S^1 \times D^2 - b$. There is a natural projection map $f| : Y \cong S^1 \times N \to N$. As $M_k - N$ is homeomorphic to the solid torus, which is an Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\mathbb{Z}, 1)$, it is not hard to see that $f|$ extends as a map $f: X_K \to M_k$.

Provided this, for any properly immersed compact orientable surface $j : F \looparrowright X_K$ whose boundary represents $m[c]$, the norm of $[f \circ j(F)]$ is bounded below by the singular Thurston norm of k. As the singular Thurston norm equals the Thurston norm (cf. [Ga]), which further equals $2g(k)-1$ for nontrivial knots, we obtain $\|\mathrm{pt}\times S^1\|_K \geq 2g(k)-1$.

To see $\|\mathrm{pt}\times S^1\|_K = 2g(k)-1$, it suffices to find a surface realizing the norm. In fact, one may first take an inclusion $\iota : \Theta^4 \to S^1 \times D^3$, where $\iota = \mathrm{id}_{S^1} \times \iota'$ where $\iota' : S^1 \times D^2 \to D^3$ is an standard unknotted embedded, i.e. whose core is unknotted in D^3 and $S^1 \times \mathrm{pt} \subset S^1 \times \partial D^2$ is the longitude. Then K_b factorizes through a smooth embedding $S^1 \times D^3 \hookrightarrow S^4$ (unique up to isotopy) via $\iota \circ P_b$. This allows us to put a minimal genus Seifert surface of k into X_K so that it is bounded by the slope $\mathrm{pt}\times S^1$. Thus $\|\mathrm{pt}\times S^1\|_K = 2g(k)-1$.

From the factorization above, we may also free-homotope $(\iota \circ P_b)(S^1 \times \mathrm{pt})$ to $S^1 \times \{\mathrm{pt}'\}$ where pt' is a point on ∂D^3, via an annulus $S^1 \times [\mathrm{pt}, \mathrm{pt}']$ where $[\mathrm{pt}, \mathrm{pt}']$ is an arc whose interior lies in $D^3 - k$. As $S^1 \times \{\mathrm{pt}'\}$ bounds a disk outside the image of $S^1 \times D^3$ in S^4, we see $\|S^1 \times \mathrm{pt}\|_K = 0$. □

4.2. **Braid satellites.** As an application of the Schubert inequality for seminorms, we estimate $\|\cdot\|_K$ for braid satellites of braid tori. We need the following notations.

Definition 4.5. Let $K : T^2 \hookrightarrow S^4$ be a knotted torus in S^4, and $\tau : T^2 \to T^2$ be an automorphism of T^2. We define the *τ-twist* K^τ of K to be the knotted torus:

$$K \circ \tau : T^2 \hookrightarrow S^4.$$

It follows immediately that the seminorm changes under a twist according to the formula:

$$\|\gamma\|_{K^\tau} = \|\tau(\gamma)\|_K.$$

Fix a product structure $T^2 \cong S^1 \times S^1$ as before. We denote the basis vectors $[S^1 \times \mathrm{pt}]$ and $[\mathrm{pt} \times S^1]$ on $H_1(T^2; \mathbb{R})$ as ξ, η, respectively. A *braid satellite* is known as some knotted torus of the form $K_b^\tau \cdot P_{b'}$, where b, b' are braids with nontrivial associated knots, and $\tau \in \mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$. It is said to be a *plumbing* braid satellite if $\tau(\xi) = \eta$ and $\tau(\eta) = -\xi$.

Proposition 4.6. *Suppose b, b' are braids with nontrivial associated knots, and τ is an automorphism of T^2. Let K be the satellite knotted torus $K_b^\tau \cdot P_{b'}$. Then for any $\gamma = x\,\xi + y\,\eta$ in $H_1(T^2; \mathbb{R})$,*

$$\|\gamma\|_K \;\geq\; (2g'-1)\cdot|y| + (2g-1)\cdot|rx + sw'y|.$$

Here $g, g' > 0$ are the genera of the associated knots of b, b', respectively, and w' is the winding number of b', and r, s are the intersection numbers $\xi \cdot \tau(\xi)$, $\xi \cdot \tau(\eta)$, respectively. Moreover, the equality is achieved if $K_b^\tau \cdot P_{b'}$ is a plumbing braid satellite.

We remark that one should not expect the seminorm lower bound be realized in general. For instance, in the extremal case when τ is the identity, $\pi_1(K)$ is exactly the knot group of the satellite of classical knots $k_b \cdot b'$, and the lower bound for the longitude slope is given by the classical Schubert inequality, which is not realized in general. However, the plumbing case is a little special. It provides examples of slopes on which the seminorm is not realized by the singular genus. In fact, when $c \subset K$ is a slope representing $x\,\xi + y\,\eta \in H_1(T^2)$, where x, y are coprime odd integers, the formula yields that $\|c\|_K$ is an even number, so the integer $g^\star_K(c)$ can never be $\frac{\|c\|_K+1}{2}$. We shall give some estimate of the singular genus and the genus for plumbing braid satellites in Subsection 4.3.

The corollary below follows immediately from Proposition 4.6 and Lemma 3.6:

Corollary 4.7. *With the notations of Proposition 4.6, if τ is an automorphism of T^2 not fixing ξ up to sign, then the stable extendable subgroup $\mathscr{E}^{\mathsf{s}}_K$ of $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$ with respect to K, and hence the extendable subgroup $\mathscr{E}_K$, is finite.*

In the rest of this subsection, we prove Proposition 4.6

Lemma 4.8. *With the notations of Proposition 4.6,*

$$\|\gamma\|_K \;\geq\; (2g'-1)\cdot|y| + (2g-1)\cdot|rx+sw'y|.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 3.9,

$$\|\gamma\|_K \;\geq\; \|\gamma\|_{K_{b'}} + \|\tau(\gamma_{\mathsf{c}})\|_{K_b}.$$

By Proposition 4.4,

$$\|\gamma\|_{K_{b'}} \;=\; (2g'-1)\cdot|y|.$$

As b' is a braid, $P_{b'}: T^2 \to \Theta^4 \simeq T^2$ implies $\gamma_{\mathsf{c}} = x\,\xi + w'y\,\eta$. Write τ as $\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix}$ in $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ under the given basis ξ, η. Note it agrees with the notations r, s in the statement. Then it is easy to compute that:

$$\tau(\gamma_{\mathsf{c}}) \;=\; (px+qw'y)\,\xi + (rx+sw'y)\,\eta.$$

By Proposition 4.4 again,

$$\|\tau(\gamma_{\mathsf{c}})\|_{K_b} \;=\; (2g-1)\cdot|rx+sw'y|.$$

Combining these calculations, we obtain the estimate as desired. □

Lemma 4.9. *With the notations of Proposition 4.6, if K is a plumbing braid satellite,*

$$\|\gamma\|_K \;\leq\; (2g'-1)\cdot|y| + (2g-1)\cdot|x|.$$

Proof. Because $\|\cdot\|_K$ is a seminorm (Lemma 3.3), it suffices to prove $\|\xi\|_K \leq 2g-1$ and $\|\eta\|_K \leq 2g'-1$. The complement X_K is the union of the companion piece $X_{K_b} = S^4 - K_b$ and the pattern piece $Y = \Theta^4 - P_{b'}$. Note that $\pi_1(X_{K_b}) = \pi_1(M_{k_b})$ where $M_{k_b} = S^3 - k_b$ is the knot complement, and $\pi_1(Y) = \mathbb{Z} \times \pi_1(R_{b'})$ where $R_{b'} = S^1 \times D^2 - b'$ is the braid complement. From the construction it is clear that $\pi_1(Y) \to \pi_1(X_K)$ factors through the desatellite on the first factor, namely, $\mathbb{Z} \times \pi_1(M_{k_{b'}})$, so the commutator length of η in $\pi_1(X_K)$ is at most that of η in $\pi_1(M_{k_{b'}})$, which is $2g'$. Moreover, the slope $\xi \in \partial X_K$ can be free-homotoped to a slope ξ_{c} on ∂X_{K_b} since it is a fiber of $Y = S^1 \times R_{b'}$, and by the construction, it is clear that ξ_{c} represents the longitude slope of $\pi_1(\partial M_{k_b})$ in $\pi_1(M_{k_b}) \cong \pi_1(X_{K_b})$, so the commutator length of ξ in $\pi_1(X_K)$ is at most that of $\xi_{\mathtt{c}}$ in $\pi_1(M_{k_b})$, which is $2g$. This proves the lemma because the commutator length equals the singular genus $g^\star_K$, which gives upper bounds for the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_K$ on slopes, (Remark 2.3 and Lemma 3.6). ☐

Now Proposition 4.6 follows from Lemmas 4.8, 4.9.

Remark 4.10. For plumbing braid satellites, since the norm is given by $\|\gamma\|_K = (2g'-1)|y| + (2g-1)|x|$, the unit ball of the norm of plumbing satellite is the rhombus on the plane with the vertices $(\pm\frac{1}{2g-1}, 0)$ and $(0, \pm\frac{1}{2g'-1})$.

4.3. **On genera of plumbing braid satellites.** In this subsection, we estimate the singular genera and the genera of slopes for plumbing braid satellites. While we obtain a pretty nice estimate for the singular genera, with the error at most one, we are not sure how close our genera upper bound is to the optimistic.

Proposition 4.11. *Suppose b, b' are braids with nontrivial associated knots, and K is the plumbing braid satellite $K^\tau_b \cdot P_{b'}$. Then for every slope $c \subset K$, the following statements are true:*

1. *The singular genus satisfies:*
$$\frac{\|c\|_K + 1}{2} \le g^\star_K(c) \le \frac{\|c\|_K + 3}{2}.$$
In particular, if c represents $x\,\xi + y\,\eta$ with both x and y odd, then $g^\star_K(c) = \frac{\|c\|_K}{2} + 1$.
2. *If c represents $x\,\xi + y\,\eta$ in $H_1(T^2)$, where x, y are coprime integers, then the genus satisfies:*
$$g_K(c) \le g\cdot|x| + g'\cdot|y| + \frac{(|x|-1)(|y|-1)}{2},$$
where $g, g' > 0$ denote the genera of the associated knots $k_b, k_{b'}$ in S^3, respectively.

We prove Proposition 4.11 in the rest of this subsection. We shall rewrite the slopes $S^1 \times \mathrm{pt}$, $\mathrm{pt} \times S^1 \subset T^2$ as c_ξ, c_η, respectively.

Lemma 4.12. *There exist two disjoint, properly embedded, orientable compact surfaces $E, E' \hookrightarrow X_K$, bounded by the slopes $c_\xi \times p$, $c_\eta \times p'$ in two parallel copies of the knotted torus $K \times p, K \times p' \subset \partial X$, respectively. Moreover, the genera of E, E' are g, g', respectively, and the Euler number of the normal framing $e(E; K) = e(E'; K) = 0$.*

Proof. Regarding K as $T_{\mathtt{std}} \cdot P_b \cdot P_{b'}$, there is a natural decomposition:
$$X_K = X_0 \cup Y \cup Y',$$
where X_0 is the compact complement of the unknotted torus $T_{\mathtt{std}}$ in S^4, and Y, Y' are the exteriors of $P_b, P_{b'}$ in the thickened torus Θ^4, respectively. Moreover, Y (resp. Y') has a natural product structure $c_\eta \times R_b$ (resp. $c_\xi \times R_{b'}$), where R_b (resp. $R_{b'}$) denotes the exterior of the braid b (resp. b') in the solid torus $S^1 \times D^2$. As before, ∂Y (resp. $\partial Y'$) has two components $\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y$ and $\partial_{\mathtt{s}} Y$ (resp. $\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y'$ and $\partial_{\mathtt{s}} Y'$). Thus ∂X_0 is glued to $\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y$, and $\partial_{\mathtt{s}} Y$ is glued to $\partial_{\mathtt{c}} Y'$, and $\partial_{\mathtt{s}} Y'$ is exactly ∂X_K.

The knot complement $M_{k_b} = S^3 - k_b$ is the union of R_b with a solid torus $S^1 \times D^2$. From the classical knot theory, there is a Seifert surface S of k_b properly embedded in $M_{k_b} = S^3 - k_b$ of genus g, and one can arrange S so that it intersects $S^1 \times D^2$ in a finite collection of $n \geq w$ disjoint parallel fiber disks. Thus $S_b = S \cap R_b$ is a connected properly embedded orientable compact surface, so that ∂S_b has one component on $\partial_{\mathsf{s}} R_b$ parallel to the longitude s, and n components $c_1, \cdots, c_n$ on $\partial_{\mathsf{c}} R_b$ parallel to to $\mathrm{pt} \times \partial D^2$. Similarly, take a connected subsurface $S_{b'} \subset R_{b'}$ with n' boundary components $c'_1, \cdots, c'_{n'}$ on the companion boundary, and one boundary component s' on the satellite boundary.

Construct a properly embedded compact annulus $E_{Y'}$ in $Y' = c_\xi \times R_{b'}$ by taking the product of c_ξ with some arc $\alpha \subset R_{b'} - S_{b'}$, so that the two end-points lie on $\partial_{\mathsf{c}} R_{b'}$ and $\partial_{\mathsf{s}} R_{b'}$, respectively. Construct a properly embedded compact surface $E'_{Y'} \subset Y'$ by taking the product of $S_{b'}$ with some point in c_ξ. Similarly, construct a properly embedded compact surface E_Y in $Y = c_\eta \times R_b$ by taking a product of S_b with some point in c_η; and construct a union of n' annuli E'_Y by taking the product of c_η with n' disjoint arcs $\alpha'_1, \cdots, \alpha'_{n'}$ in $R_b - S_b$, each of whose end-points lie on $\partial_{\mathsf{c}} R_b$ and $\partial_{\mathsf{s}} R_b$, respectively. Under the gluing, we obtain two disjoint properly embedded surface $E_Y \cup E_{Y'}$ and $E'_Y \cup E'_{Y'}$ in $Y \cup Y'$, whose boundaries on $\partial_{\mathsf{s}} Y' = \partial X_K \cong K \times S^1$ are $c_\xi \times \mathrm{pt}$ and $c_\eta \times \mathrm{pt}$, respectively. Moreover, it is clear that $\partial(E_Y \cup E_{Y'})$ has n other boundary components on $\partial_{\mathsf{c}} Y = \partial X_0 \cong T_{\mathtt{std}} \times S^1$, parallel to $c_\eta \times \mathrm{pt}$; and $\partial(E'_Y \cup E'_{Y'})$ has n' other boundary components on $\partial_{\mathsf{c}} Y$, parallel to $c_\xi \times \mathrm{pt}$.

It is not hard to see that one can cap off these other boundary components with disjoint properly embedded disks in X_0. In fact, we may regard $T_{\mathtt{std}} \colon T^2 \hookrightarrow S^4$ as the composition:

$$T^2 \cong c_\xi \times c_\eta \hookrightarrow c_\xi \times D^3 \hookrightarrow S^4,$$

where c_η is a trivial knot in D^3. Thus the components of $\partial(E'_Y \cup E'_{Y'})$ that lie on ∂X_0 can be capped off in $c_\xi \times D^3$ disjointly. Moreover, the components of $\partial(E_Y \cup E_{Y'})$ lying on ∂X_0 can be isotoped to the boundary of $c_\xi \times D^3$, so that they are all c_ξ-fibers. Because $S^4 - c_\xi \times D^3$ is homeomorphic to $D^2 \times S^2$, we may further cap off these fibers in the complement of $c_\xi \times D^3$ in S^4.

It is straightforward to check that capping off $E_Y \cup E_{Y'}$ and $E'_Y \cup E'_{Y'}$ result in the surfaces E and E' respectively, as desired. Note that $e(E; K)$ vanishes because we can perturb the construction above to obtain a surface disjoint from E bounding a slope parallel to $c_\xi \times \mathrm{pt}$ in $K \times \mathrm{pt}$. For the same reason, $e(E'; K) = 0$ as well. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 4.11. (1) It suffices to show the upper bound. By Lemma 4.12, there are properly embedded surfaces E, E' in X_K bounded by $c_\xi \times \mathrm{pt}, c_\eta \times \mathrm{pt}$, respectively, and the complexity of E and E' realizes $\|c_\xi\|_K$ and $\|c_\eta\|_K$, respectively, (Proposition 4.6). Suppose $c \subset K$ is a slope representing $x\xi + y\eta$. By the main theorem of [Ma], there exists an $|x|$-sheet connected covering space $\tilde{E}$ of E, which has exactly one boundary component if x is odd, or two boundary components if x is even. By the same method, there is also $\tilde{E}'$ which is connected $|y|$-sheet covering E' with one or two boundary components. Since x and y are coprime, at most one of them is even, so $\tilde{E} \cup \tilde{E}'$ have at most three components. Then there are immersions of these surfaces into X_K, and by homotoping the image of their boundaries to $K \times \mathrm{pt}$ and taking the band sum to make them connected, we obtain an immersed subsurface $F \looparrowright X_K$ bounding the slope c. Since we need to add up to two bands to make the boundary of F connected, this yields:

$$2\, g^\star_K(c) - 1 \leq -\chi(F) \leq (-\chi(E)) \cdot |x| + (-\chi(E')) \cdot |y| + 2 = \|c\|_K + 2.$$

Note that the last equality follows from Proposition 4.6 as we assumed K is the plumbing braid satellite. This proves the first statement. The 'in particular' part is also clear because when x, y are both odd, $\|c\|_K$ is an even number by the formula, so $\frac{\|c\|_K}{2} + 1$ is the only integer satisfying our estimation.

(2) In this case, we take $|x|$ copies of the embedded surface E, and $|y|$ copies of the embedded surface E', in X_K. Because the Euler numbers of the normal framing are zero for E and E', we may assume these copies to be disjoint. Isotope their boundaries to $K \times \mathrm{pt}$ in ∂X_K, we see $|x|$ slopes parallel to c_ξ, and $|y|$ slopes parallel to c_η. As there are $|xy|$ intersection points, we take $|xy|$ band sums to obtain a properly embedded surface $F \hookrightarrow X_K$ bounding the slope c. There are $|x| + |y| - 1$ bands that contribute to making the boundary of F connected, and each of the other $|xy| - |x| - |y| + 1$ bands contributes one half to the genus of F. This implies:

$$g_K(c) \leq g(F) = g \cdot |x| + g' \cdot |y| + \frac{(|x|-1)(|y|-1)}{2},$$

as desired. $\square$

5. Slopes with vanishing seminorm but positive singular genus

In this section, we exhibit examples where the seminorm vanishes on some slope with positive singular genus. Note we have already seen slopes whose singular genus do not realize nonvanishing seminorm in plumbing braid satellites, (cf. Proposition 4.6). Our construction is based on the existence of incompressible knotted Klein bottles.

Denote the Klein bottle as Φ^2. A *knotted Klein bottle* in S^4 is a locally flat embedding $K : \Phi^2 \hookrightarrow S^4$. We usually denote its image also as K, and the exterior $X_K = S^4 - K$ is obtained by removing an open regular neighborhood of K from S^4 as before in the knotted torus case. We say a knotted Klein bottle K is *incompressible* if the inclusion $\partial X_K \subset X_K$ induces an injective homomorphism between the fundamental groups. There exist incompressible Klein bottles in S^4, see [Ka, Lemma 4].

Incompressible knotted Klein bottles give rise to examples of slopes on knotted tori which have vanishing seminorm but positive singular genus.

Specifically, let $K : \Phi^2 \hookrightarrow S^4$ be an incompressible knotted Klein bottle. Suppose $\kappa : T^2 \to \Phi^2$ is a two-fold covering of the Klein bottle Φ^2. Pertubing $K \circ \kappa : T^2 \to S^4$ in the normal direction of K gives rise to a knotted torus:

$$\tilde{K} : T^2 \hookrightarrow S^4.$$

Lemma 5.1. *With the notations above, $\tilde{K}$ has a slope c such that $\|c\|_{\tilde{K}} = 0$, but $g^\star_{\tilde{K}}(c) > 0$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \subset \Phi^2$ be an essential simple closed curve on K so that $\kappa^{-1}(\alpha)$ has two components $c, c' \subset T^2$. Then c, c' are parallel on T^2. We choose orientations on c, c' so that they are parallel as oriented curves. Let $\mathcal{N}(K)$ be a compact regular neighborhood of K so that $Y = \mathcal{N}(K) - \tilde{K}$ is a pair-of-pants bundle over K. Then c is freely homotopic to the orientation-reversal of c' within Y. This implies that $2\,[c \times \mathrm{pt}] \in H_1(X_{\tilde{K}})$ is represented by a properly immersed annulus $A \looparrowright X_{\tilde{K}}$ whose boundary with the induced orientation equals $c \cup c'$. Therefore, $\|c\|_K$ equals zero. However, note that $X_{\tilde{K}} = X_K \cup Y$, glued along $\partial X_K = \partial \mathcal{N}(K)$. Since K is incompressible, ∂X_K is π_1-injective in X_K. It is also clear that both components of ∂Y are π_1-injective in Y. It follows that $\pi_1(Y)$ injects into $\pi_1(X_{\tilde{K}})$, and also that $\pi_1(\partial X_{\tilde{K}})$ injects into $\pi_1(X_{\tilde{K}})$. Therefore, the slope $c \times \mathrm{pt}$ in $\partial X_{\tilde{K}} \cong \tilde{K} \times S^1$ is homotopically nontrivial in $\pi_1(X_{\tilde{K}})$, so $g^\star_{\tilde{K}}(c)$ cannot be zero. □

6. Further questions

In conclusion, for a knotted torus $K : T^2 \hookrightarrow S^4$ in S^4, the seminorm and the singular genus of a slope are meaningful numerical invariants which are sometimes possible to control using group theoretic methods. However, the genera of slopes seem much harder to be captured. It certainly deserves further exploration how to combine the group theoretic methods with the classical 4-manifold techniques when the fundamental group comes into play.

We propose several further questions about genera, seminorm and extendable subgroups. Suppose $K : T^2 \hookrightarrow S^4$ is a knotted torus.

Question 6.1. When is the unit disk of the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_K$ a finite rational polygon, i.e. bounded by finitely many segments of rational lines? (Cf. Remark 4.10.)

Question 6.2. If the index of the extendable subgroup $\mathscr{E}_K$ in $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$ equals three, is K necessarily the knot connected sum of the unknotted torus with a knotted sphere?

Question 6.3. If the stable extendable subgroup $\mathscr{E}^{\mathtt{s}}_K$ equals $\mathrm{Mod}(T^2)$, does the singular genus $g^\star_K$ vanish for every slope?

Question 6.4. If K is incompressible, i.e. ∂X_K is π_1-injective in the complement X_K, is the stable extendable subgroup $\mathscr{E}^{\mathtt{s}}_K$ finite?

Question 6.5. For plumbing knotted satellites, does the upper bound in Proposition 4.11 (2) realize the genus of the slope?

References

[Ca] D. Calegari, *scl*, MSJ Memoirs, **20** (2009), Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo.

[DLWY] F. Ding, Y. Liu, S.-C. Wang, J.-G. Yao, *A spin obstruction for codimension-two homeomorphism extension*, preprint, available at `arXiv:0910.4949`.

[Ga] D. Gabai, *Foliations and the topology of 3–manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **18** (1983), no. 3, 445–503.

[GS] R. E. Gompf, A. I. Stipsicz, *4-manifolds and Kirby calculus*, Graduate Studies in Math. 20, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[Hi1] S. Hirose, *On diffeomorphisms over T^2-knots*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (1993), no. 3, 1009–1018.

[Hi2] S. Hirose, *On diffeomorphisms over surfaces trivially embedded in the 4-sphere*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **2** (2002), 791–824.

[HY] S. Hirose, A. Yasuhara, *Surfaces in 4-manifolds and their mapping class groups*, Topol. **47** (2008), no. 1, 41–50.

[Ka] S. Kamada, *Orientable surfaces in the 4-space associated with nonorientable knotted surface*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **108** (1990), 299–306.

[Ma] W. S. Massey, *Finite covering spaces of 2-manifolds with boundary*, Duke Math. J. **41** (1974), 875–887.

[MS] J. Milnor, J. Stasheff, *Characteristic Classes*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, no. 76, Princeton University Press; University of Tokyo Press, 1974.

[Mo] J. M. Montesinos, *On twins in the four-sphere, I*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **34** (1983), no. 134, 171–199.

[Sc] H. Schubert, *Knoten und Vollringe* (German), Acta Math. **90** (1953), 131–286.

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, USA
E-mail address: `yliu@math.berkeley.edu`

Department of Mathematics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
E-mail address: `yini@caltech.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA
E-mail address: `hongbins@math.princeton.edu`

School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
E-mail address: `wangsc@math.pku.edu.cn`